THE DELICATE TASK:
CONDUCTING TRAUMA-INFORMED,
SURVIVOR-CENTERED INVESTIGATIONS

MARCH 9, 2023 | PERKINS COIE LLP
Today’s Panel

Markus Funk | Partner
White Collar & Investigations

Gina La Monica | Partner
White Collar & Investigations

Chris Wilkinson | Senior Counsel
Labor & Employment Law

Hon. Virginia Kendall
United States District Court ND IL

Patrick O’Rourke
University of Colorado Vice Chancellor & COO
Agenda

- Background: The “Trauma-Informed” Approach
- Preparing for the Investigation
- Conducting Trauma-Informed Interviews
- Delivering Findings: The Investigator’s Report
- Questions
BACKGROUND: THE TRAUMA-INFORMED APPROACH
DEFINING “TRAUMA INFORMED”

• Terminology derives from social and behavioral sciences
• Reflects understanding that trauma may impact brain function and the ability of a witness to recall and recount an event
• Describes a method of interacting with witnesses in a professional manner that recognizes the potential impact of trauma
The Impact of Trauma

- Efforts to avoid thoughts on the traumatic experience
- Avoidance of reminders of the traumatic experience; triggers
- Inability to recall specific details or strange focus on one detail
- Inability to remain focused on the discussion; exhaustion
- Non-linear verbal explanations
The “Conventional Wisdom”

- Not acting like a victim
- Story keeps changing
- Seems unsure of themselves
- Not making eye contact, appears nervous
- Timeline not adding up
- Suddenly remembers new facts
- Fails to provide details
Trauma may make a witness appear:

- Unable to manage emotions (emotional or emotionless)
- Inconsistent
- Untrusting (of self and others)
- Non-linear and Non-chronological
- Vague
- Fragmented
• Why Trauma-Informed:
  - Mitigate bias
  - Keep an open mind
  - Avoid making premature, inaccurate conclusions
  - Bolster credibility determinations
  - Minimize further trauma

**Promote integrity and accuracy of the investigation**
Where Does Trauma-Informed Apply?

Sexual Misconduct, Harassment, Abuse
Race and Gender-based Discrimination
Workplace Investigations
Cultural Assessments
Institutional Bias
Power-Based Harms
Integration of Trauma Informed Techniques

- U.S. Department of Education
  - Title IX Preamble instructs that institutions not precluded from “applying trauma-informed techniques, practices or approaches,” in an impartial and non-biased manner
- U.S. Department of Justice
  - Has endorsed trauma-informed care for victim’s rights; Office on Violence Against Women; Elder Justice Initiative; and National Strategy to Combat Human Trafficking
- Various state laws require or encourage trauma-informed training
  - Law enforcement
  - Educators, SROs
  - Mental health professionals
Case Study: The Trauma Informed Courtroom

- Recognize the victim needs possible protection
- The victim’s identity should be shielded
- The victim should have a safe place to sit and wait for the court hearing
- Understand the trauma of being in front of the Offender
- The victim may need to take frequent breaks
- The victim needs to know and have access to services
• Guiding principle for trauma-informed methodology: Respect the survivor’s autonomy and control

• Common (initial) reluctance to report abuse/misconduct has many reasons (psychological, sociological, and practical)

• Victimization operates on a continuum – not an “on/off” switch

• Each initial contact or interview threatens to re-victimize the survivor - forces survivor to repeat and relive the traumatic experiences
The Trauma-Informed Approach

- Transparency
- Predictability
- Control
- Reliability
- Patience
## Initiating the Investigation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Client</th>
<th>Who is the client (who does investigator report to)?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objective</td>
<td>What is the objective of the investigation?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>What is the scope of conduct to be reviewed?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Privilege Considerations</td>
<td>Clarify expectations for privilege and confidentiality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timeframe</td>
<td>Determine timeframe for the investigation and results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Report</td>
<td>Discuss format for status updates and final report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Investigative Objectives

• **Balancing Act** – Need to harmonize survivor-centered approach with the need to conduct a factual investigation (and potentially prevent future/ongoing abuse)

• **To meet investigative mandate**, usually necessary to obtain detailed firsthand accounts from survivors

• **Particularly challenging** when misconduct is ongoing

• **Consider alternatives** to directly contacting witnesses that experienced trauma
“It is generally held that the public disclosure of a document—even a voluntary one—does not waive the attorney-client privilege as to the subject matter of the document itself, but, at most, to any privileged communications which are revealed in the public document.” Waters v. Drake, No. 14-cv-1704, 2016 WL 8118193 (S.D. Ohio 2016)

“Baylor chose to publicly release a detailed summary of [outside counsel’s] investigation that disclosed, among other things, attorney-client communications. While the information contained in these summaries was previously confidential, Baylor’s decision to prepare and release a summary of those communications indicates its intentional waiver of that confidentiality.” Doe v. Baylor, 320 F.R.D. 430 (W.D. Tex. 2017)

“Defendants cannot have it both ways and allowing Defendants to keep [outside counsel’s] materials confidential would be unfair given that Defendants were able to obtain that information under the guise that the investigation was “independent and external.” Interviewees, particularly current and former players, did not anticipate that their participation in the investigation and the information they shared would ultimately be used in Defendants’ defense of this lawsuit. Wadley v. University of Iowa, No. 20-CV-366, 2022 WL 18780000 (S.D. Iowa June 24, 2022)
The Limits of Trauma-Informed Techniques

• Trauma-informed practices should not:
  - Dispel credibility determinations
  - Misinterpret independent evidence
  - Replace or substitute for missing evidence
  - Unfairly impart a bias towards the truthfulness of a witness’s statements

Trauma-informed is one technique, not the sole technique
In-House Counsel Seeks to:
- Advise relevant stakeholders (Board; Special Committee; Legal, etc.)
- Protect independence/accuracy of the investigation
- Harmonize institutional needs with outside counsel advice
- Balance obligations to public
- Appropriately address potential legal exposure
CONDUCTING TRAUMA-INFORMED INTERVIEWS
Guiding Principles

1. Respect the survivor’s autonomy and control

2. Identify traumatization

3. Adjust approach/relationship accordingly

4. Adapt strategy to both prevent/minimize re-victimization while staying true to investigative objectives
Preparing for Witness Contact

• Be intentional about first contact and framing
• Be compassionate, present, and patient – and understand that not everyone reacts the same way
• Remember that trauma operates on continuum
• Be affirming and nonjudgmental – and be prepared to be flexible (logistics, breaks, etc.)
• Validate the survivor’s feelings
• Reassure survivor that assistance is vital and appreciated
• Explain next steps in an honest and open way
• Make follow-up plans, discuss a safety plan, and provide contact information
• Never overpromise or minimize
Key Points Related to First Contact

• **Transparency**: Patiently and empathetically explain role, structure of engagement, and investigative mandate (whether criminal or civil)

• **Be prepared to address** any pre-conditions (seeing written questions in advance, copies of relevant documents, confidentiality, etc.)

• **Throughout interview** remind witness that:
  - Your help is incredibly important to us and to others
  - Uncomfortable questions play a role in fact-finding and remediation
  - Clarifying questions are not meant to place “blame”
Conducting the Interview

• Establish appropriate rapport
• Provide space for witness to tell their story
• Utilize open-ended, non-leading questions
• Ask about sensory observations (sounds, smells)
• Clarify through follow-up questions
• Corroborate with independent evidence where possible
• At all times remain engaged, present, empathetic, and non-confrontational
• **Remain aware** of any changes in demeanor in response to certain questions
  - Vague description of important facts/acts
  - Closed-off body language
  - Contextually inappropriate behavior (unusual emotional reactions, looking off into the distance or on the ground, not showing empathy towards other survivors, anger, resignation, false denial, flat affect, etc.)

• **Non-verbal expressions** may communicate more than language (but also keep cultural factors in mind – and prepare for them)
BE PREPARED FOR...

- **Witness’s questions** about confidentiality and next steps
- **Indications** (including subtle ones) that witness fears retaliation/retribution, and stigmatization (studies show adults particularly reluctant to admit suffering from victimization)
- **Situations requiring** expert/medical assistance
  - Look for signs of PTSD, generalized anxiety disorder, major depression, substance abuse, physical maltreatment, etc.
  - Develop solid connections with trusted local resources/services for survivors
DELIVERING FINDINGS: THE INVESTIGATOR’S REPORT
Reporting Findings

- What is the format?
- *Who* is the audience for the document?
- What is the *purpose* behind the document?
- How does the document get *used*?
- How does the document relate to *other documents*?
Additional Considerations

- Blaming vs Non-blaming statements
- Characterization as “Victim”
- Avoiding retaliation
- Witness safety
- Avoid re-traumatization
Putting It All Together

Privilege Considerations

Protecting Confidential Witness Statements

Conveying Sensitive Information

Dealing with Parallel Proceedings
Questions?