**Faculty Senate Minutes**

October 4 2016

LC 243, 3:00-5:00 pm

***Present***: Kim Abunuwara, Christa Albrecht-Crane, Huda Al-Ghaib, Anne Arendt, Brian Barthel, Howard Bezzant, Mark Borchelt, Mark Bracken, Bret Breton, Kat Brown, Josh Cieslewicz, Alan Clarke, David Connelly, Ken Crook, Karen Cushing, Courtney Davis, Debora Escalante, Steve Fellows, Sara Flood, Doug Gardner, Lindsey Gerber, Darrell Green, Laurie Harrop-Purser, Jia He, Matthew Holland, Robert Jorgensen, Lydia Kerr, Ryan Leick, Dianne McAdams-Jones, Tanner McAllister (UVUSA), Duane Miller, Anthony Morris (Library), Jeff O’Flynn, Jeff Olson, Hong Pang, Jeff Peterson, Jim Pettersson, Karen Preston, Denise Richards, Robert Robbins, Sheri Rysdam, Leo Schlosnagle, Makenzie Selland, Tyler Standifird, Craig Thulin, Sean Tolman, Robert Warcup, Paul Weber, Stephen Whyte, Alex Yuan

***Excused or Absent***: Steve Allred, Dean Bohl, Marty Clayton, Gary Mercado, Shalece Nuttall (PACE), Stuart Stein

***Guests:*** Ashley Larson, Geoff Matthews, Taylor Lovell, Maureen Andrade

Call to order – 3:02 p.m.

Approval of Minutes from September 20, 2016. Minutes approved.

PRESIDENT

* Campus Master Plan
  + Provided background re Wilson Sorensen’s vision. We have a responsibility as we look forward to the next 75 years.
  + Been addressing matter for the last 18 months. Held many lengthy conversations both externally and internally. Balancing many competing and complimentary interests.
  + Orem Main, TP, Payson, Wasatch plans were not going to be enough and purchased Vineyard. Currently have a proposal out asking for assistance in constructing a building. Payson – we have identified a parcel of land and are working on the details. Larger issue is the Vineyard property.
  + Began process with four models: Feeder, Specialty Discipline Satellite, Dual Location, Services and Support. After a lot of feedback the clear winner was a Specialty Discipline Satellite. It was determined that Services and Support compliments a Specialty Discipline campus. Based on this focus, needed to rework our Main Campus plan.
  + Guiding Principles
    - Stay true to UVU’s Mission: Serious, Inclusive, Engaged, Student Success
    - Maintain academic integrity/unity of main campus
    - Be a good/communicating neighbor
    - Leverage mass-transit and foster sustainability
    - Develop a phased approach for the long-term
  + Phase 1 (over next 5 years)
    - Move BRC, Track & Field, Intramural Fiels, sports stadium, Electrical Substation to Vineyard by the Larry Miller complex.
  + Phase 2 (to 2031)
    - Academic Success Center
    - Conference Center (Community engagement piece)
    - Amphitheater
    - Front Runner Extension
    - Tennis Courts
    - UFRA building
  + Phase 3 (to 2041)
    - Build out Academic Buildings
    - Basketball;Arena
    - Business Innovation Center
  + Phase 4 (to 2051)
  + Some limitations such as only building a parking lot
  + Phase 1 Priority
    - Construct an entirely new building for the Business School
    - Extension of Computer Science building
    - Moving out the track and soccer fields, allows for construction of a new corridor towards the Library.
    - Considering bringing Education to main campus
    - Key issue to building is addressing the parking issues. Would like to build a new on/off ramp coming north, new off ramp south, and pedestrian walkway connecting East/West Campus.
    - Examining interest in areas of prime real estate to partner to construct student housing.
  + Phase 2 Priority
    - Warehouse on Eastside of I15
    - Build down southern side of new corridor
  + Phase 3
    - Finish southside of corridor and build back towards CB.
    - Can cultivate on westside of campus. Will push for transit to funnel towards campus.
  + Phase 4
    - Finalize towards CB and build around Campus Drive.
  + Q&A
    - Will build parking structures, but will need to rethink parking overall.
    - LDS Institute is not open to any change of plans at this time.
    - Biking and Walking Paths will need to be addressed, but is a municipal discussion.
    - Consideration of enhancement of Library. Holland noted that the Library is placed front and center in design. Improvements will be presented in the near future. Need to address both collections and infrastructure.
    - As plan mass transit, need to get UTA to buy in as some areas that are in close proximity to campus take significant amount of time to travel.
    - Autism Building will stay put. Most likely to take over that area would be UCAS.
    - ETA for CS building would be approximately 5 years. Big push now is for the Business Building, then, CS, and Education.
    - Consider eco-friendly sustainability landscaping when designing new areas.
  + Holding additional Master Plan Meetings. 10/5 – 10:30-11:30 in CB 511. Email any additional comments to Katie Zabriski.
  + Expressed thanks to Faculty Senate for their input.

SVPAA

* Great opportunity for us to be able to plan the future of UVU. Encourage everyone to attend discussions.
* Handout from OTL on Support for Large Classrooms

PACE

* Halloween Decorating Contest
* Professional Development Forum – VP HR at Belmont – 10/14 – 2-3 pm
* Holiday Social – 12/15 – 11:30 a.m.-1:00 p.m.

UVUSA

* Brooklyn Fiska (SOA)

ACADEMIC INTEGRITY WEEK

* Anderson noted that academic side of infractions come to CAGAS. There are some things that faculty can and should be doing to maintain integrity.
* Addressed that many times faculty note of cheating or plagiarism, but don’t report.
* Ashley Larson has compiled a list of websites that are possibly problematic contributors to academic dishonesty.
* First line of defense is faculty. Infractions, even informal, need to be reported.
* Academic Integrity Forum specific to Technology was held today. Noted that there are many websites available for students to utilize for study purposes, but not fully aware of when the line is crossed for cheating.
* Faculty are encouraged to explore the websites and look for any material that should not be posted such as exams and quizzes. When find, let Ashley Larson’s office know as they can trace back to the student. Faculty does have authority to decide what action against a student should be taken.
* Policy 541 is in the process of being rewritten and will be addressing items at the programmatic level. Policy states faculty are to report instances of cheating and plagiarism.
* Links will be provided.
* Students can no longer access previous courses in perpetuity.

INSTITUTIONAL RESEARCH AND INFORMATION (IRI)

* Great Colleges to Work For Survey
  + Can access on the IRI Website.
  + Done every 2 years of all FT employees across campus and are asked how much they agree to disagree.
  + Assessment, interest in campus climate, benchmark against other campuses, and ?
  + Reviewed survey. Don’t receive raw data only aggregated results.
* Dashboard Tool Kit
  + Demo of dashboards for Program Review on IRI Website
  + Some of the data is utilized for accreditation purposes. Data is more fine-grained than what is used for Federal Government. Purpose for developing was for Program Review to be conducting every seven years.

UNIVERSITY RTP ADVISORY COMMITTEE

* Noted that recommendations are coming from the University RTP Committee. Over last several years one of the big frustrations was who a faculty member could appeal to. Committee will not be overriding any recommendation. Purpose is to review and show the portfolio adequately documents worthiness for tenure. Members of the committee will be elected by the department. Names will be submitted to the SVPAA. Olson noted that he is responsible for making decisions, but play an informal role of advising the SVPAA.
* Clarke inquired about the political dysfunctionality of the department and whether the decision was made on political grounds. Pettersson responded that the committee would need to have a discussion on this matter and perhaps a larger Faculty Senate discussion.
* Albrecht-Crane noted that policy does not allow for the formation of this committee and that review the tenure portfolio by those not listed in the policy. Connelly responded that interpretation of policy does not preclude the formation of the committee. Richards inquired about establishing an appeals committee within the process and not after final decisions are made. Clarke requested the committee be given a broader mandate providing an intervention before the final decision is made. Bracken recommended creating an advisory body to determine the effectiveness of it rather than putting it in policy where locked in.
* Olson noted that faculty related policies take 5-10 years. For example, Annual Review has been in the pipeline for 5 ½ years. He would like to form this committee such as was done with CAGAS to provide a broader faculty voice to assist the SVPAA in making decisions.
* Motion – Tolman extend discussion for 10 minutes. Arendt seconded. All in favor? 22; 8 Abstained. 6 Opposed.
* Bezzant noted that give the faculty member an opportunity to approve the portfolio for review by the committee or not. If don’t approve, then follow the normal tenure review process.
* Albrecht-Crane feels that the formation of the committee is arbitrary. Tolman inquired as to whether or not the policy prohibits the formation of the committee. Olson will investigate. He also noted that the tenure appeal process is based on due process and a faculty member must establish that due process was not granted. Olson wants a broad-based faculty judgment in ensure those that qualify for tenure receive it and those that don’t qualify don’t receive it. Gardner noted what’s to say a Dean won’t try to create a committee for them.
* Motion – Bezzant motioned to table for next senate meeting. Crook seconded. Pettersson wants to know what direction the Senate would like the RTP Appeals Committee to move towards. Bracken recommended Gerber move forward with the elections and whether the committee is formed. All in favor? 38. 0 – Opposed. 0 – Abstained.

Meeting adjourned at 5:00 p.m.