UVU's Teaching Excellence Model

Purpose of Teaching Excellence Model:

This resource articulates teaching expectations and provides examples of evidence for teaching portfolios; serves as a resource in development of teaching goals; aligns teaching expectations with policy, university strategies and plans, UK Professional Standards Framework, and NWCCU accreditation requirements.

Definition of Teaching Excellence:

Excellent teaching is inclusive and engaging and empowers students to achieve their educational, personal, and professional goals.

INCLUDE

Inclusive teaching values every student and provides accessible, equitable, and culturally diverse learning experiences and resources for students of all backgrounds. Inclusive teaching fosters an inviting and supportive environment in which students can succeed.

For example, UVU faculty may demonstrate through...

- Curate course materials that represent global diversity, the diversity of the field, and the contested and evolving status of knowledge. [1] [13] [22]
- Design courses and select course resources with physical and content accessibility in mind, including through the integration of Universal Design for Learning principles. [20] [18]
- Develop and demonstrate intercultural competence in instruction and communication. [10] [13]
- Maximize student motivation by leveraging students' sense of the relevance, rigor, and supportiveness of a course—and of their own self efficacy within it. [17] [25]
- Increase the expression of diverse perspectives in class and through online fora, with collegiality and mutual respect, to advance students' understanding. [6] [16] [24]

ENGAGE

Engaged *teaching* provides meaningful, interactive, and experiential learning opportunities that integrate into the fabric of the community. Engaged *teachers* participate in ongoing dialogue about teaching and learning, professional development, innovation, and reflection about their work.

For example, UVU faculty may demonstrate through...

- Facilitate group work, collaborative learning, problem-based learning, or team-based learning. [8] [21] [26]
- Implement appropriate technologies to facilitate learner outcomes. [19]
- Communicate regularly and promptly with students to address concerns and questions. [7] [23]
- Demonstrate course content/activities that are authentic to future career opportunities. [12] [27]
- Reflect on their teaching practice and make changes over time that are informed by experimentation, professional teaching development, collegial interactions and class observations, student feedback (e.g. SRI), SCOTs observations, and the scholarship of teaching and learning. [4] [5]

ACHIEVE

Achievement-focused teaching empowers students to gain essential knowledge, skills, and competencies needed to face the challenges of a dynamic and complex world. Instructors promote deep learning by integrating disciplinary currency/mastery with metacognitive pedagogy and design of instruction to guide students in realizing outcomes that include learning soft-, hard-, process-, and career-specific skills.

For example, UVU faculty may demonstrate through...

- Create assignments to practice application of course concepts in discipline-relevant situations. [17] [28]
- Provide low-stakes formative assessments with feedback in preparation for high-stakes summative assessments. [2] [3] [28]
- Build occasions for student reflection about their own learning processes, challenges, and growth (metacognition). [1] [11] [25]
- Lead with questions and model expert thought by "thinking aloud" when encountering problems. [14]
- Clearly communicate compelling goals for student learning and design courses tightly aligned with those goals (backward design). [28]
- Clearly convey the purpose, process for completion, and criteria for evaluation of class assignments before students begin work (transparency). [29]

Alignment Matrix

UVU POLICIES	UVU 2030	COMPLE- TION PLAN 2.0	INCLUSION PLAN 2.0	HEA	NWCCU STAND- ARDS	EVIDENCE - STUDENT EXPERIENCE	EVIDENCE - FACULTY DEVELOPMENT
INCLUDE							
Policy 635 4.2.1 Faculty to create learning environments that allow for the free pursuit of learning in an atmosphere of civility, respect the rights of all students, exhibit and foster honest academic conduct, and evaluate students fairly. 4.2.3 being available to assist students.	Improve accessibility, flexibility, and affordability for all current and future students Recruit and retain excellent, engaging faculty and staff Expand flexible offerings Strengthen outreach and support to underrepresented	Encourage learner- centered teaching practices	Expand faculty participation in G/I workshops Develop faculty mentoring program based on diverse student needs Curate diverse course materials Support infrastructure changes to expand flex offerings	A4, V1, V2	1.C.6 2.G.1 5 6 12	 Student feedback (formative and summative) Communication artifacts Office hours/MS Teams meetings Differentiated/inclusive course materials Syllabus Peer observation (inclusion) 	 Foundations of Inclusion certification Global/Intercultural certification Other DEI workshops Universal Design of Learning training Online Teaching Academy certification Online course design training Integration of OER materials
ENGAGE							
Policy 635 5.2.2.4 The criteria expect faculty to stay current on pedagogical techniques in their field(s) of expertise, and use appropriate strategies for engaging students in learning in effective ways so that students who apply themselves achieve the outcomes specified for the course.	Strengthen partnerships for community, workforce, and economic development Comprehensively designed curriculum & seamless K-16	Increase student connection to campus resources	Create affinity groups and supportive communities for minoritized faculty and students Integrate gender identity and expression into curriculum	A1, A2, A4, A5, K1-4, V1, V2	1.C.6 2.B.2 5 6 12	 Student feedback (formative and summative) Peer observation (engage) Evidence of high impact practices Course materials Mentoring undergraduate research 	 Service-Learning certification Mentoring Academy certification Team Based Learning certification POGIL training Community partnerships and industry alliance Developing contemporary curriculum anchored in real world application Reflection on SCOTs reports
ACHIEVE							
Policy 637 4.1.3 Does the criteria require sustained, expected levels of teaching effectiveness, scholarly and creative endeavors, and service to the profession? 4.2.1 consistent with UVU's teaching mission 4.5.1 ongoing contributions in teaching, scholarly and creative work, and service in support of the mission 5.6.1 evidence of achievements in teaching, including a self-assessment of teaching performance and experiences, supervisor assessment, peer assessments, SRIs for all courses taught during the evaluation period, evidence of contributions to curriculum and course development, professional development related to teaching, and any other evidence related to teaching 5.6.4.2 include peer evaluations of teaching	Enhance student success and accelerate credentials of meaningful credentials	Encourage high impact teaching practices Increase data- informed reflective practices		A3, A5, K2, K5, K6, V3, V4	1.C.1 1.C.2 1.C.5 1.C.6 1.C.7 2.B.2 5 6 12	 Evidence of student learning Assessments Employability 	 SOTL scholarship HEA fellowship Teaching awards Other professional development evidence

Works Cited

[1] Ambrose, S. A., Bridges, M. W., DiPietro, M., Lovett, M. C., & Norman, M. K. (2010). *How learning works: Seven research-based principles for smart teaching*. John Wiley & Sons.

[2] Angelo, T. A., & Cross, K. P. (2012). *Classroom assessment techniques*. Jossey Bass Wiley.

[3] Barkley, E. F. (2009). Student engagement techniques: A handbook for college faculty. John Wiley & Sons.

[4] Boyer, E. L. (1990). <u>Scholarship reconsidered: Priorities of the professoriate</u>. Lawrenceville, NJ: Princeton University Press.

[5] Brookfield, S. D. (2017). Becoming a critically reflective teacher. John Wiley & Sons

[6] Brooks, D. E., & Ward, C. J. (2007). Assessing students' engagement with pedagogies of diversity. Journalism & Mass Communication Educator, 62(3), 243-262.

[7] Chickering, A. & Gamson, Z. (1987). Seven principles of good practice in undergraduate education. AAHE Bulletin, 3, 7.

[8] Crouch, C. H., & Mazur, E. (2001). Peer instruction: Ten years of experience and results. American journal of physics, 69(9), 970-977.

[9] Davey, B. (1983). Think aloud-modeling the cognitive processes of reading comprehension. Journal of Reading, 27(1), 44-47.

[10] Dimitrov, N., & Haque, A. (2016). Intercultural teaching competence: a multi-disciplinary model for instructor reflection. Intercultural Education, 27(5), 437-456.

[11] Dirks, C., Wenderoth, M. P., & Withers, M. (2014). Assessment in the college science classroom. WH Freeman.

[12] Doyle, T. (2012). Learner-centered teaching: Putting the research on learning into practice. Stylus Publishing, LLC..

[13] Gay, G. (1995). Mirror images on common issues: Parallels between multicultural education and critical pedagogy. Multicultural education, critical pedagogy, and the politics of difference, 155-190.

[14] Handelsman, J., Miller, S., & Pfund, C. (2007). Scientific teaching. Macmillan.

[15] Harper, S. R., & Davis III, C. H. (2016). Eight actions to reduce racism in college classrooms. Academe, 102(6), 30-34.

[16] Hussey, H. D., Fleck, B. K., & Warner, R. M. (2010). Reducing student prejudice in diversity-infused core psychology classes. College Teaching, 58(3), 85-92.

[17] Keller, J. M. (1987). Development and use of the ARCS model of instructional design. Journal of instructional development, 10(3), 2.

[18] Kim, E., & Aquino, K. C. (Eds.). (2017). Disability as diversity in higher education: Policies and practices to enhance student success. Taylor & Francis.

[19] Kuh, G. D., Cruce, T. M., Shoup, R., Kinzie, J., & Gonyea, R. M. (2008). <u>Unmasking the effects of student engagement on first-year college grades and persistence</u>. *The Journal of Higher Education, 79*(5), 540-563.

[20] Meyer, A., Rose, D. and Gordon, D. (2014). Universal Design for Learning: Theory and Practice, Wakefield, MA: CAST Professional Publishing.

[21] Michaelsen, L. K., & Sweet, M. (2008). The essential elements of team-based learning. New directions for teaching and learning, 2008(116), 7-27.

[22] National Academy of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, How People Learn II: Learners, Contexts, and Cultures, Washington, DC: The National Academies Press, 2018.

[23] Nicol, D. & Macfarlane-Dick, D. (2007). Formative assessment and self-regulated learning: A model and seven principles of good feedback practice. Studies in Higher Education, 31(2), 199-218. _

[24] Radloff, T. D. L. (2010). College students' perceptions of equal opportunity for African Americans and race-based diversity course requirements make a difference? *College Student Journal*, 44(2).

[25] Roediger, H. L., McDaniel, M. A., & Brown, P. C. (2014). *Make it stick: The science of successful learning*. Harvard University Press.

[26] Salmons, J. (2019). Learning to Collaborate, Collaborating to Learn. Sterling, VA: Stylus.

[27] Weimer, M. (2002). Learner-centered teaching: Five key changes to practice. John Wiley & Sons.

[28] Wiggins, G., Wiggins, G. P., & McTighe, J. (2005). *Understanding by design*. ASCD.

[29] Winkelmes, M. A., Bernacki, M., Butler, J., Zochowski, M., Golanics, J., & Weavil, K. H. (2016). <u>A teaching intervention that increases underserved college</u> students' success. *Peer Review*, *18*(1/2), 31-3.