Category	Criteria	Strong (3)	Medium (2)	Weak (1)	Score (1-3)	Weight	Weighted Score
A. Basic Information	Personal, Department & College Info	Complete information provided (faculty/staff name, department, college, contact info).	Most information provided, but one element missing or unclear.	Minimal or missing information.		1	O
	Project Name	Clear, descriptive, and specific title that reflects objectives.	Title provided but vague or generic.	No project name or title does not reflect content.		1	O
B. Global Partnerships	Global South (Developing Nation) Selected	Nation clearly identified with justification of why it was selected.	Nation identified but justification is limited.	Nation not identified or incorrectly classified as Global South.		1	O
	University or NGO Partner Abroad (Quality & Sustainability)	Partner clearly identified with evidence of active collaboration (MOU, joint planning, cocreated outcomes).	Partner identified but commitment unclear or limited evidence of reciprocity.	Partner mentioned but no evidence of collaboration.		1	O
C. Alignment with UNESCO Chair Mission	UVU UNESCO Chair Objectives Outlined	Explicitly connects project to Chair's mission (AI, environmental stewardship, sustainable futures, Utah connection).	General reference to Chair objectives but vague or incomplete.	No connection made to Chair objectives.		2	C
	SDGs Outlined	At least two relevant SDGs identified and clearly linked to project activities and expected outcomes.	One SDG mentioned but connection is vague.	No SDGs identified or irrelevant SDGs listed.		2	C
D. Feasibility and Resources	Estimated Budget	Clear, realistic budget with major categories outlined and aligned with project scope.	Budget provided but missing some categories or not fully justified.	No budget or unrealistic estimates.		1	O
E. Student and Fieldwork Engagement	Students Participate in the Project	Active, defined role for students (research, fieldwork, presentations, project outcomes).	Students included but participation is minimal or not well-defined.	No student involvement.		2	O
		Clear description of fieldwork activities, duration, learning outcomes, and connection to the project.	Fieldwork mentioned but lacking detail on activities or outcomes.	No fieldwork component described.		2	O
F. Impact on Utah	Benefit to the Utah Community	Clear, concrete benefits for Utah students, faculty, or broader community (skills, partnerships, applied outcomes).	-	No clear benefit to Utah community described.		2	O

Interpretation of Weighted Scores (Max = 45)

Weighted Total (Max 45)

38–45 = Highly Recommended — Strong alignment with UNESCO Chair mission, robust student & fieldwork engagement, clear Utah impact, and strong feasibility.
28–37 = Recommended with Revisions — Promising but needs strengthening (e.g., partnerships, budget clarity, or Utah benefits).

Below 28 = Not Recommended — Limited alignment with mission, weak feasibility, or minimal benefit to Utah and students.