

## Why Do We Need More Women Leaders in Utah?

Research has shown that most managers and top leadership teams do not fully realize the value of having women in key positions, and this is the case across all sectors, including business, government, political, nonprofit, K-12 and higher education, religious, and community.<sup>1</sup> Depending on the entity, this may include women in both paid and unpaid roles ranging from positions such as supervisor, coordinator, and manager, to school principal, council member, CEO, and board director. Organizations will increasingly thrive when both men and women hold management and leadership roles.<sup>2</sup> This is true for organizations in the U.S. and around the world, and it is also true for organizations in Utah. Gender inclusivity benefits not only businesses, but also entities such as churches, state legislatures, and city councils.

Understanding the value of inclusivity in these groups and organizations is critical and timely for our state in light of recent negative press. A 24/7 Wall St. piece ranked Utah as the worst state in the U.S. for women,<sup>3</sup> and a *New York Post* article included Utah on the list of five places in the world that women should not spend their travel dollars.<sup>4</sup> Although these rankings were based on only a few criteria (i.e., gender pay gap and the low percentages of women serving in the state legislature and management positions) and ignored the many positive elements of living in Utah, the rankings do at least highlight some opportunities for positive change. Heightening awareness of the value of women in leadership within the state is one such opportunity.

Research affirms that there are internal and external barriers for women in becoming managers and leaders. Although this brief can assist women in understanding why they should lead (overcoming an internal barrier), its primary purpose is to help managers, leaders, voters, and other key stakeholders to better understand the benefits of attracting, retaining, promoting, and empowering women within their organizations and entities. These benefits will be introduced in the following sections: (1) improving financial performance, (2) strengthening organizational climate, (3) increasing corporate social responsibility and reputation, (4) leveraging talent, and (5) enhancing innovation and collective intelligence.

### Improving Financial Performance

A series of recent studies has shown that organizations that have more women in management and on boards, on average, attain better financial results than do other organizations.<sup>5</sup> For example, according to a recent Catalyst Information Center report, *Why Diversity Matters*, a 2011 study found that “companies with the most women board directors outperformed those with the least on return on sales (ROS) by 16

percent and return on invested capital (ROIC) by 26 percent.”<sup>6</sup> In 2012, Bloomberg published a large study (2,360 companies) conducted by the Credit Suisse Research Institute, which found that “companies with a market capitalization of more than \$10 billion and with women board members outperformed comparable businesses with all-male boards by 26 percent worldwide.”<sup>7</sup> A number of other recent studies have also found that companies of various sizes with “more women directors actually rank higher on various performance measures than other boards”<sup>8</sup> and that there are “positive correlations between gender diversity on boards and improvements in corporate governance and financial performance.”<sup>9</sup>

Other financial performance indicators have also been studied. First, the *New York Law Journal* reported that companies with at least one female director “averaged higher net income growth, lower net debt-to-equity ratio, and faster reduction in debt compared to companies with no female directors.”<sup>10</sup> Second, a U.K. study found clear evidence that companies

with women directors had a lower risk of insolvency than did other companies.<sup>11</sup> Third, a recent Canadian study found that having women on corporate boards helps companies strike better business deals. The study “shows companies with female directors bring down costs of acquiring other firms, [and] make less risky bids.”<sup>12</sup> Women directors can help their companies make fewer errors that financially hurt their stakeholders.<sup>13</sup> The

researchers claim that women tend to be less overconfident than men, especially in times of uncertainty. Another scholar stated that “women appear to be less motivated by empire-building” and are “less likely to take on an acquisition unless they feel very sure that it’ll be good for shareholders.”<sup>14</sup> Finally, *Why Diversity Matters* cited studies that showed the following benefits: higher operating results, better stock growth, better economic growth, higher market-to-book value, better corporate governance and oversight, improved corporate sustainability, and overall increased profitability.<sup>15</sup>

#### Improving Financial Performance

- Better financial results
- Increased profitability
- Higher operating result
- Better economic growth
- Faster debt reduction
- Lower risk of insolvency
- Better business deals
- Less risky bids
- Better stock growth
- Higher market-to-book value
- Better corporate governance
- Better corporate oversight
- Improved organizational sustainability

Overall, data from a host of national and international studies have shown a trend that companies with females in leadership teams and on executive boards have experienced better financial performance.<sup>16</sup> Most of the research has been conducted within the business arena, but research shows that these and/or related benefits can also extend to other sectors. However, it is important to note that some of the cited studies found that in order to obtain some of the above-listed financial performance benefits, a critical mass of women (about 30%) on boards or leadership teams must be present.<sup>17</sup>

### Strengthening Organizational Climate

Researchers have also discovered a host of organizational climate benefits associated with the number of women serving in management and leadership. First, a number of studies have found that an organizational climate that is open to diversity is linked to employees' lower intentions to leave.<sup>18</sup> Second, one study of government agencies in the U.S. found that employees were more satisfied when they perceived that diversity was effectively managed and that managers were fair to all employees.<sup>19</sup> Third, other studies confirm that women leaders typically help ensure that more women are hired and in the pipeline for future leadership.<sup>20</sup> Fourth, having more women in senior leadership roles is also linked to a smaller gender pay gap and wage increases, particularly for women at higher levels of companies.<sup>21</sup> Fifth, the Corporate Leadership Council discovered a link connecting commitment to diversity and inclusion with the level of employee engagement.<sup>22</sup> Finally, one report stated that "mirroring the community can lead to a boost in productivity, customer satisfaction, and earnings."<sup>23</sup> For example, one retail company discovered that when their store employees matched the demographic profile of the community, it had increased sales. Since women constitute the majority of consumers today, companies are finding that it makes sense to have more female employees who better understand the needs of female consumers.<sup>24</sup>

Women leaders also tend to look more carefully at issues of fairness in policies and practices for all employees. A Chinese study found that boards with higher numbers of women were less likely to violate security regulations and to commit fraud. This study concluded that female board members appeared to contribute to reduced

groupthink, better board independence, and improved monitoring.<sup>25</sup> Additional studies have confirmed these findings in other countries and contexts.

Women also bring beneficial competencies and skills to teams. One field study experiment in Amsterdam found that mixed-gender teams had a higher team performance. Performance peaked when student teams were composed of about 55% women.<sup>26</sup> Other scholars found that participants of more diverse teams felt that their teams were more considerate and that team leaders were better able to see each member as unique and as a valued contributor.<sup>27</sup> In addition, inclusive leadership styles, most commonly found in women, are also linked to reduced turnover and improved performance of diverse teams.<sup>28</sup> Finally, researchers continue to confirm that more diverse groups and teams result in more effective and ethical choices and decisions.<sup>29</sup>

### Increasing CSR & Organizational Reputation

Corporate social responsibility (CSR) is a hot topic of discussion for companies around the world, and Utah companies are no exception. One question is whether women influence the CSR or related social or community involvement of an organization. The *Why Diversity Matters* report states that "gender-inclusive leadership is associated with increased corporate social responsibility."<sup>30</sup> It also cites research linking the number of women in leadership positions to a positive impact on a company's social responsiveness. In addition, the Committee for Economic Development argues that having more women on boards helps companies better engage with society.<sup>31</sup> For example, a study of privately owned Chinese firms showed that companies with more women on their boards were more likely to respond philanthropically to natural disasters.<sup>32</sup> Multiple studies found connections between greater numbers of women leaders and significantly higher levels of corporate philanthropy.<sup>33</sup> In sum, research has found that women tend to be more concerned with CSR and feel more compelled to be involved with efforts to help society, particularly families, children, the needy, and others who are underserved. The findings are important for any sectors in which individuals volunteer or are employed.

Research clearly shows that CSR activities have a positive impact on an organization's reputation, and as the authors of one report stated, "Companies viewed as ethical or good corporate citizens were more likely to have more women board directors than companies without those reputations."<sup>34</sup> Internal culture and practices can strengthen organizational

**Strengthening Organizational Climate**

- Decreased turnover intentions
- Higher employee satisfaction
- More women hired for pipeline
- Smaller gender pay gap
- Increased employee engagement
- Increased productivity
- Higher customer satisfaction
- Lower corporate fraud
- Reduced groupthink
- Higher team performance
- More considerate team members
- More ethical decisions

**Increasing CSR & Organizational Reputation**

- Increased CSR
- Higher-quality CSR initiatives
- Greater social responsiveness
- Better engagement with society
- Greater philanthropic responses
- Improved corporate reputation
- Better corporate social performance
- Increased social performance indicators

reputation. For example, gender-diverse leadership teams and boards have been found to improve corporate reputation. Another study found that having women on boards was clearly connected to a number of key social performance indicators linked to both internal and external reputation (e.g., community, corporate governance, diversity, employee relations, environment, human rights, and product-related social issues).<sup>35</sup>

## Leveraging Talent

Women tend to exhibit different leadership characteristics and attributes than men. These are not necessarily better or worse, merely different. Sometimes male attributes are needed; sometimes female attributes are preferred, and often both sets of attributes can add value to a situation, strategy, or effort. One scholar noted that female attributes are particularly valued in today's economy because of the fast changing global environment, which includes cultural diversity and social, political, and economic uncertainty.<sup>36</sup>

Women tend to be more holistic rather than linear thinkers. They usually look for win-win instead of win-lose solutions and are often more process-oriented than men are.<sup>37</sup> Women also ask different questions. For example, within the political and governmental sectors, women bring diverse life experiences, policy perspectives, and concerns that differ from those of men.<sup>38</sup> Women

are also known to be more sensitive to nonverbal communication cues and are often more comfortable with ambiguity.<sup>39</sup> One researcher reported that new pathways to better decision making have been forged through women's diverse leadership styles and their focus on inclusiveness and cooperation.<sup>40</sup> In addition, women tend to be more nurturing and often care deeply about developing others around them, which makes them particularly powerful role models, mentors, and coaches for women and men alike.

In today's world, there continues to be a myth that men are better leaders than women, but—according to a host of studies in the last two decades—that is just not the case. In fact, women have outperformed men on numerous leadership competencies in a number of recent studies. For example, one study of 3,000 executives from nearly 150 countries found that subordinates rated women leaders higher than men on competencies such as energizing others, design and aligning, tenacity, and outside orientation.<sup>41</sup> Other researchers

**Leveraging Talent**

- Think more holistically
- Seek win-win solutions
- More process-oriented
- Ask different questions
- Bring difference experiences
- Sensitive to nonverbal cues
- Comfortable with ambiguity
- Use different leadership styles
- Focus on inclusiveness
- Focus on teams and cooperation
- More nurturing
- Focus on developing others
- Make more ethical decisions
- Have higher integrity/honesty
- Focus on self-development

**Enhancing Innovation & Collective Intelligence**

- Increased innovation
- Improved creativity
- Better team decision making
- Greater team problem solving
- Better team performance on highly complex tasks
- Increased knowledge formation and patents
- Higher collective intelligence
- Higher social sensitivity

looked at data from 7,000 leaders and found that, according to subordinates, peers, and superiors, women outperformed men on 12 of 16 measures of outstanding leadership competencies and scored the same as men in the other four.<sup>42</sup> Most significantly, women's scores lead those of men in taking initiative, practicing self-development, displaying high integrity and honesty, and driving for results.

## Enhancing Innovation and Collective Intelligence

Studies continue to report that diverse and inclusive leadership teams produce more creative and innovative results. *Scientific American* article "How Diversity Makes Us Smarter," based on decades of research from organizational scientists, psychologists, sociologists, economists, and demographers, states: "The fact is that if you want to build teams or organizations capable of innovating, you need diversity. Diversity enhances creativity. It encourages the search for novel information and perspectives, leading to better decision making and problem solving. Diversity can improve the bottom line of companies and lead to unfettered discoveries and breakthrough innovations. Even simply being exposed to diversity can change the way you think."<sup>43</sup>

The Catalyst Information Center reports that "better problem-solving and increased creativity are positively associated with a variety of diversity attributes."<sup>44</sup> For example, German researchers found in one study that teams with women and minorities performed better on highly complex tasks primarily because of the wider range of thinking processes and increased creativity.<sup>45</sup> Another study found that mixed-gender U.S. teams in the IT industry produced more patents for new products than all-male teams.<sup>46</sup> Other researchers agree that having more women in groups has been linked to effectiveness in solving difficult problems.<sup>47</sup>

Researchers from MIT, Carnegie Mellon University, and Union College studied collective intelligence levels in high-functioning groups.<sup>48</sup> They found that the number of women was a major factor in creating groups with the best internal dynamics for ultimate collective intelligence. Social sensitivity was identified as a critical component for success,

and these researchers found that women had higher levels. Their research findings revealed that the "number of women in the group significantly predicted the effective problem-solving abilities of the group overall."<sup>49</sup> As with other studies, the researchers also found that the collective intelligence of the group exceeded the cognitive abilities and aptitudes of the individual members of the group.

This collective intelligence is critical to effective decision making and problem solving, as well as high levels of innovation and creativity.

## Conclusion

The summary of the research in this brief provides a compelling case that gender is an important consideration in crafting the composition of management and leadership within groups, organizations, and other social entities. Bringing together the strengths of both men and women can result in more effective, productive, and innovative teams and organizations within our state. Gender inclusivity can benefit everyone. So, how do we accomplish this?

First, we need to understand the present situation. The following 2014 status briefs are among the reports that can be helpful in assessing the need for women leadership in disparate sectors:

- [The Status of Women in Utah Politics](#)
- [The Status of Women Leaders in Utah Nonprofits](#)
- [The Status of Women Leaders in Utah Education](#)
- [The Status of Women Leaders in Utah Business](#)

Second, college completion has been linked to the development of women leaders. The latest in Utah women's participation and graduation rates can also assist change agents in understanding what we may need to make positive changes for women in Utah. See the following 2013 research briefs for the most recent information:

- [Educational Attainment: A Utah Women and Education Update](#)
- [College Enrollment and Graduation: A Utah Women and Education Update](#)

Third, we need to bring individuals, groups, and organizations together to build a coalition that will more effectively coordinate the existing efforts, initiatives, and strategies to build new resources and programs to help train and develop women and those who influence them (e.g., voters, peers, managers, and leaders). Unless we address both internal and external barriers, we will not be able to move forward with the united force for change that is needed within our communities (e.g., businesses, government, political entities, churches, educational institutions, and society).

Fourth, since literature is full of the best practices and researched interventions for such efforts, it is critical that the programs, initiatives, and resources be thoughtfully designed and implemented. We also need to encourage managers and leaders in groups and organizations of all kinds to be more open-minded and strategic in terms of recruiting, promoting, and retaining prepared and competent women into positions of influence. We also need to encourage individuals, groups, and organizations to provide leadership development training and other related opportunities such as networking and mentoring for women.

The bottom line is that the most successful organizations of the future will be those that attract, retain, and grow talent in

ways that provide more women with the opportunity to succeed at all levels, and we need more of these types of organizations within Utah. Organizations and societies that fail to fully use female talent will limit both their own economic and noneconomic growth and opportunities.<sup>50</sup> Without the active participation of women and the incorporation of women's perspectives at all levels of decision making, we will not effectively achieve the economic and societal goals of the state and its residents. It is my hope that the data and insights shared in this brief will spark discussion and assist organizations and society at large in making the needed changes to help Utah strengthen its inclusivity. Let us not just get off the "10 Worst States for Women" list, but let us make Utah a place that is known as a wonderful state for women to live, work, contribute, and enjoy!

<sup>1</sup> Catalyst Information Center. (2013). Why diversity matters. Retrieved from <http://www.catalyst.org/knowledge/why-diversity-matters>

<sup>2</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>3</sup> Frohlich, T. C., Kent, A., & Hess, A. E. M. (2014, October 16). The 10 worst states for women. 24/7Wallst.com. Retrieved from <http://247wallst.com/special-report/2014/10/16/the-10-worst-states-for-women-2/4/>

<sup>4</sup> Ceaser, J. (2014, December 1). 5 places women shouldn't spend their travel dollars. New York Post. Retrieved from <http://nypost.com/2014/12/01/5-places-women-shouldnt-spend-their-travel-dollars/>

<sup>5</sup> Catalyst Information Center. (2013).

<sup>6</sup> Catalyst Information Center. (2013, p. 2); Original source: Carter, N. M., & Wagner, H. M. (2011). The bottom line: Corporate performance and women's representation on boards (2004–2008). Retrieved from <http://www.catalyst.org/knowledge/bottom-line-corporate-performance-and-womens-representation-boards-20042008>

<sup>7</sup> Perlberg, H. (July 21, 2012). Stocks perform better if women are on company board. (p. 11). Bloomberg. Retrieved from <http://www.bloomberg.com/news/2012-07-31/women-as-directors-beat-men-only-boards-in-company-stock-return.html>

<sup>8</sup> Committee for Economic Development. (2012). Fulfilling the promise: How more women on corporate boards would make America and American companies more competitive (p. 11). Retrieved from [http://www.fwa.org/pdf/CED\\_WomenAdvancementonCorporateBoards.pdf](http://www.fwa.org/pdf/CED_WomenAdvancementonCorporateBoards.pdf)

<sup>9</sup> Lillienfeld, D. E. (2012). Measures to increase gender diversity on corporate boards gain traction. *New York Law Journal*, 251(4), 1–2 (p. 1).

<sup>10</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>11</sup> Wilson, N., & Altanlar, A. (2009). Director characteristics, gender balance and insolvency risk: An empirical study. *Social Science Research Network*. Retrieved from [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=1932107](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1932107)

<sup>12</sup> Taylor, M. (2013, December 3). Study: Women on corporate boards help companies strike better deals. Retrieved from <http://america.aljazeera.com/articles/2013/12/3/study-women-on-corporateboardshelpcompaniesstrikebetterdeals.html>

<sup>13</sup> Taylor, M. (2013).

<sup>14</sup> Taylor, M. (2013, para. 1).

<sup>15</sup> Catalyst Information Center. (2013).

<sup>16</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>17</sup> *Ibid.*

<sup>18</sup> Kaplan, D. M., Wiley, J. W., & Maertz Jr., C. P. (2011). The role of calculative attachment in the relationship between diversity climate and retention. *Human Resource Management*, 50(2).

<sup>19</sup> Choi, S., & Rainey, H. G. (2013). Organizational fairness and diversity management in public organizations: Does fairness matter in managing diversity? *Review of Public Personnel Administration*. doi: 10.1177/0734371X13486489

<sup>20</sup> Catalyst Information Center. (2013); Madsen, S. R. (2008). *On becoming a woman leader: Learning from the experiences of university presidents*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.; Madsen, S. R. (comp.). (2013). Asilomar declaration and call to action on women and leadership. The International Leadership Association Women and Leadership Affinity Group Inaugural Conference 2013.

---

Pacific Grove, CA. Retrieved from [http://www.ila-net.org/Communities/AG/asilomar\\_declaration2013.pdf](http://www.ila-net.org/Communities/AG/asilomar_declaration2013.pdf)

<sup>21</sup> Terjesen, S., & Singh, V. (2008). Female presence on corporate boards: A multi-country study of environmental context. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 83(1), 55–63.

<sup>22</sup> Corporate Leadership Council. (2004). Driving performance and retention through employee engagement (Washington, DC: Corporate Executive Board). Retrieved from <http://www.stcloudstate.edu/humanresources/trainingDev/supvBrownBag/documents/CLC-Employee-Engagement.pdf>

<sup>23</sup> Catalyst Information Center. (2013, p. 10).

<sup>24</sup> Rosener, J. (2011, June 7). The ‘terrible truth’ about women on corporate boards. Retrieved from <http://www.forbes.com/sites/womensmedia/2011/06/07/the-terrible-truth-about-women-on-corporate-boards/>

<sup>25</sup> Cumming, D. J., Leung, T. Y., & Rui, O. M. (2012, August 1). Gender diversity and securities fraud. *Social Sciences Research Network*, Working Paper Series. Retrieved from

[http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=2154934](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=2154934)

<sup>26</sup> Oosterbeek, S. H. H., & van Praag, M. (2011, April 28). The impact of gender diversity on the performance of business teams: Evidence from a field experiment. *Social Sciences Research Network*, Working Paper Series. Retrieved from [http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract\\_id=1826024](http://papers.ssrn.com/sol3/papers.cfm?abstract_id=1826024)

<sup>27</sup> Homan, A. C., & Greer, L. L. (January, 2013). Considering diversity: The positive effects of considerate leadership in diverse teams. *Group Processes Intergroup Relations*, 16(1), 105–125.

<sup>28</sup> Catalyst Information Center. (2013).

<sup>29</sup> Madsen, S. R. (comp.). (2013).

<sup>30</sup> Catalyst Information Center. (2013, p. 9).

<sup>31</sup> Committee for Economic Development. (2012).

<sup>32</sup> Jia, M., & Zhang, Z. (December, 2012). Critical mass of women on BODs, multiple identities, and corporate philanthropic disaster response: Evidence from privately owned Chinese firms. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 118(2), 303–317.

<sup>33</sup> Catalyst Information Center. (2013).

<sup>34</sup> *Ibid* (p. 10).

<sup>35</sup> Hafsi, T., & Turgut, G. (2013). Boardroom diversity and its effect on social performance: Conceptualization and empirical evidence. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 112(3), 463–479.

<sup>36</sup> Rosener, J. B. (2011).

<sup>37</sup> *Ibid*.

<sup>38</sup> Sanbonmatsu, K., Carroll, S., & Walsh, D. (2009). Poised to run: Women’s pathways to the state legislatures. Retrieved from <http://www.cawp.rutgers.edu/research/reports/PoisedtoRun.pdf>

<sup>39</sup> Rosener, J. B. (2011).

<sup>40</sup> Hall, C. C. I. (2009). Learning from number two: Diversity in community college leadership. *On Campus with Women*, 28(2). Retrieved from [http://www.aacu.org/ocww/volume38\\_2/national.cfm](http://www.aacu.org/ocww/volume38_2/national.cfm)

<sup>41</sup> Ibarra, H., & Obodaru, O. (January, 2009). Women and the vision thing. *Harvard Business Review*. Retrieved from <https://hbr.org/2009/01/women-and-the-vision-thing/ar/1>

<sup>42</sup> Zenger, J., & Folkman, J. (2012, March 15). Are women better leaders than men? Retrieved from <https://hbr.org/2012/03/a-study-in-leadership-women-do/>

<sup>43</sup> Phillips, K. (2014, September 16). How diversity makes us smarter. Retrieved from <http://www.scientificamerican.com/article/how-diversity-makes-us-smarter/>

<sup>44</sup> Catalyst Information Center. (2013).

<sup>45</sup> Higgs, M., Plewnia, U., & Ploch, J. (2005). Influence of team composition and task complexity on team performance. *Team Performance Management*, 11(7/8), 227–250.

<sup>46</sup> Ashcraft, C., & Breitzman, A. (2007). Who invents it?: An analysis of women’s participation in information technology patenting. National Center for Women & Information Technology.

<sup>47</sup> Catalyst Information Center. (2013).

<sup>48</sup> Woolley, A. W., Chabris, C. F., Pentland, A., Hashmi, N., & Malone, T. W. (2010, October 2). Collective intelligence: Number of women in group linked to effectiveness in solving difficult problems. *Science Daily*. Retrieved at <http://www.sciencedaily.com/releases/2010/09/100930143339.htm>

<sup>49</sup> Catalyst Information Center. (2013, p. 11).

<sup>50</sup> Committee for Economic Development. (2012).

---

*Acknowledgements:* Support for this brief was provided by the Woodbury School of Business and the Office of Student Affairs at Utah Valley University. Also, a special thanks to Lyndee Anderson, Cheryl Hanewicz, Mary Ann Holladay, Deb Thornton, and Sarah Perkins for their feedback and editing.