According to a recent article in Utah Business Magazine, Utah had the strongest job growth in the nation in 2018, with no signs of slowing down. ${ }^{1}$ Forbes ranked Utah second in their 2018 Best States for Business scorecard, moving it up from third the previous year. ${ }^{2}$ Given the increase in the number of high-tech firms in Utah, it should come as no surprise that many jobs are based in the STEM (science, technology, engineering and mathematics) fields. In fact, Utah contains three of the top 100 best cities for STEM jobs in the United States, including Salt Lake City (\#8), Provo (\#26), and Ogden (\#41). These rankings are based on a combined score that includes professional opportunities, STEM-friendliness, and quality of life. Provo ranked first in the nation for the single category of "Highest STEM Employment Growth." ${ }^{3}$

Utah has clearly become an economic powerhouse, and the supply of skilled workers is critical to maintaining that position. The demographic variability of the workforce is also an essential factor. Researchers continue to find that companies with a more diverse leadership team and workforce can recruit better talent, create stronger customer bonds, increase employee satisfaction, and make better decisions. ${ }^{4}$ Perhaps most important for their stakeholders, they perform better financially. ${ }^{5}$ A diverse labor pool of both men and women is required to meet the hiring needs of Utah's high-tech businesses, and it is also a factor that company executives consider when they are looking to relocate companies here. ${ }^{6}$

It has been challenging in recent years for the Utah technology sector to find enough talent and diversity. ${ }^{7}$ Nationally, females comprise $47 \%$ of the workforce and $28 \%$ of the tech workforce (a $1 \%$ decrease over the past 15 years). ${ }^{8}$ In Utah, women comprise $44 \%$ of the labor force, and their numbers in STEM fields remain particularly low. The Department of Workforce Services (DWS) data show that in the 2013-2017 timeframe, females represented only $19 \%$ of those employed in the computer/engineering sciences field. ${ }^{9}$

This brief is intended to update Utah leaders and other stakeholders (e.g., educators, parents, counselors) about the gender status of STEM education and attainment in Utah. It highlights progress made since the publication of the 2013 research and policy brief on the same topic. ${ }^{10}$ Data about the K-12 STEM system and postsecondary STEM degrees within public colleges and universities are provided. National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) comparisons are included as well. NAEP assesses the national performance of fourth- and eighth-grade students in science and mathematics. These

## A diverse labor pool of both men and women is required to meet the <br> hiring needs of Utah's <br> high-tech businesses.

findings provide an indication of the educational fitness of students who are filling the STEM pipeline. Skill gaps at an early age may signal more difficulties later in life.

## STEM Disciplines

STEM fields include the broad disciplines of science, technology, engineering, and math. The availability and variety of STEM majors have evolved since the early 2000s. Long-standing fields such as biology and engineering have branched into subfields and specialty areas, creating robust industry demands. ${ }^{11}$ U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics show that between 2009 and 2015, STEM-based jobs increased $10.5 \%$ compared with $5.4 \%$ in non-STEM occupations. ${ }^{12}$ Computer professions had the highest growth rate, followed by engineers. The Bureau of Labor estimates that between 2014 and 2024 occupations in mathematical sciences will grow by $28.2 \%$, in computer-related fields by $12.5 \%$, and in architecture and engineering by $8 \%{ }^{13}$

STEM college majors tend to earn more than their non-STEM counterparts when they enter the workforce. According to the Pew Research Center, ${ }^{14}$ the yearly average salary of a STEM major is about $\$ 81,000$ compared to $\$ 61,000$ of a non-STEM major. Even in non-STEM jobs, STEM majors outearn non-STEM majors by about $\$ 10,000$. According to the U.S. Department of Commerce, women in STEM jobs earn $40 \%$ more than men in non-STEM jobs. In addition, the wage gap is smaller between males and females employed in STEM jobs. ${ }^{15}$
Degree data used in this research brief are from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS), ${ }^{16}$ which is used by the Department of Education and is the standard reporting venue for universities. Following are the STEM categories identified in IPEDS: 1) Biological and Biomedical Sciences, 2) Computer and Information Sciences, 3) Engineering and Engineering Technologies, 5) Mathematics and Statistics, and 6) Physical Sciences and Science Technologies. Academic researchers in Utah often include two additional categories: Agricultural Sciences and Health Professions, which are included in this report as well.

## K-12 STEM Pipeline

Knowing how children perform in their early academic careers is important to understanding the STEM pipeline. One measure of student achievement in the U.S. is the National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP). Current STEM areas that are assessed include mathematics and science. In 2014 Technology \& Engineering Literacy (TEL) was added. Table

1 shows Utah data for two cohorts (2009/2011 and 2015/2017) of fourth- and eighth-grade students.

## Table 1: NAEP for Proficiency in Utah (2009/2011 and 2015/2017 Cohorts)

| MATHEMATICS | At or <br> Above <br> Proficient <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 9 * / 2 0 1 1 ~}$ | At or Above <br> Proficient <br> 2015/2017 <br> (\% Change) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade Males | $46 \%$ | $48 \%(2 \%)$ |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade Females | $40 \%$ | $42 \%(2 \%)$ |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade Males | $37 \%$ | $41 \%(4 \%)$ |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade Females | $33 \%$ | $37 \%(4 \%)$ |
| SCIENCE |  |  |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade Males | $39 \% *$ | $48 \%(9 \%)$ |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ Grade Females | $36 \% *$ | $42 \%(6 \%)$ |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade Males | $47 \%$ | $54 \%(7 \%)$ |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ Grade Females | $38 \%$ | $46 \%(8 \%)$ |

Source: U.S. Department of Education. The Nation's Report Card, Utah. ${ }^{17}$

Utah males outperformed females in every proficiency level for both cohorts in fourth and eighth grades for math and science. The largest gender gap was in eighth-grade science, where males led females by 9 and 8 percentage points, respectively. On a positive note, all students performed better in $2015 / 2017$. In math categories for both grades, males and females improved at the same rate between 2009/2011 and $2015 / 2017$ ( $2 \%$ in fourth and $4 \%$ in eighth). This indicates that all Utah students are improving on these measurements regardless of gender. Science proficiency also improved for all students at similar rates.

The TEL assessment was added to define and assess what eighth grade students know about technology in the following three areas: 1) technology and society, 2) design and systems, and 3) information and communication technology. ${ }^{18}$ Currently only national data are available. In both 2014 and 2018, eighth-grade females significantly outperformed their male counterparts on their overall score. ${ }^{19}$ While these data are not specific to Utah students, they do show that in junior high/early high-school years, females in U.S. schools have strong technology and engineering literacy skills. It would be interesting in the future to see if Utah students fare similarly.

ACT scores are another measurement of STEM readiness for students. The ACT is generally taken by students in their junior year and measures college readiness in six core areas (English, math, reading, science, STEM, and writing). The most recent ACT Profile Report for Utah (graduating class 2017) shows that males continue to outperform females (when considering current and 2012 scores). ${ }^{20}$ In the "percent of students who met college readiness benchmark scores" category, males outperformed females in mathematics ( $38 \%$ vs. $32 \%$ ) and science ( $37 \%$ vs. $32 \%$ ). Both males and females lag behind their national peers by $3 \%$ in science, compared to $2 \%$ in 2012. Larger
gaps remain in mathematics readiness. In Utah, 38\% of males were proficient vs. $44 \%$ nationally ( $43 \%$ vs. $50 \%$ in 2012), as were $32 \%$ of Utah females vs. $39 \%$ nationally ( $37 \%$ vs. $42 \%$ in 2012). It appears that all U.S. student scores in math and science have dropped over the last six years. In the ACT STEM category, which was added in 2015, males and females had similar average scores. In Utah, males earned 20.8 and females 20.2, neither of which met the national benchmark score of 26.

A recent review of literature, supported by Microsoft and a faculty member at Southern Utah University, asserts that young women do not always see themselves in a STEM career. ${ }^{21}$ Young women experience very little exposure to STEM applications within the classroom and do not initially see the creative opportunities for world impact. The report states that young women who are introduced to a woman in a STEM career are $61 \%$ more likely to feel empowered and engage in STEM classroom activities. According to the research, activities for girls in grades 5-8 (e.g., STEM clubs) could increase the likelihood for girls to choose STEM classes in high school by as much as $30 \%$. Encouragement from both parents and teachers is critical in determining whether a young woman will continue in a STEM educational pathway into college. ${ }^{22}$

## Postsecondary STEM Degrees

The total number of students in Utah public institutions (i.e., Dixie State University, Salt Lake Community College, Snow College, Southern Utah University, University of Utah, Utah State University, Utah Valley University, and Weber State University) who completed STEM degrees increased from 2,358 in 2012 to 3,279 in 2017. Correspondingly, the number of women who earned STEM degrees increased as well. In fact, their graduation numbers increased in every IPEDS-identified STEM category, as shown in Table 2.

## Table 2: Utah Women with IPEDS-Identified STEM Certificates and Degrees (2012 \& 2017, USHE Colleges and Universities)

| Category | 2012 |  | 2017 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No. | \% | No. | \% |  |
| Biological/ <br> Biomedical | 234 | $38 \%$ | 317 | $40 \%$ |
| Comp/Info Sciences | 96 | $13 \%$ | 303 | $14 \%$ |
| Engineering | 129 | $12 \%$ | 237 | $17 \%$ |
| Engineering Tech. | 34 | $11 \%$ | 36 | $10 \%$ |
| Math and Statistics | 57 | $36 \%$ | 83 | $35 \%$ |
| Physical Sciences | 114 | $33 \%$ | 143 | $35 \%$ |
| Science Technicians | 13 | $39 \%$ | 25 | $30 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{6 7 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 1 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ |

Source: IPEDS Completions Survey Data for Certificates and Degrees Awarded in STEM and Health Related Areas of Study, Utah Colleges and Universities. ${ }^{23}$

However, as a percentage of the total graduating class, they increased only $1 \%$ (from $20 \%$ to $21 \%$ ). The Appendix includes a detailed comparison of all 2012 and 2017 STEM degrees

[^0]earned by award level and Utah System of Higher Education (USHE) institution.

The share of degrees earned by women in Utah remains substantially lower than men in all IPEDS STEM categories. However, there have been some improvements. The percentage of degrees/certificates earned by women in biological/biomedical fields increased from $38 \%$ to $40 \%$, and those in physical sciences increased from $33 \%$ to $35 \%$. Engineering had the greatest jump from $12 \%$ to $17 \%$. The largest share decrease was in the science technicians category, dropping from $39 \%$ in 2012 to $30 \%$ in 2017 . Even though the number of female graduates doubled during this time, the increase in male graduates almost tripled, resulting in a $9 \%$ decrease.

Utah women continue to have strong completion rates in agricultural sciences and health professions (see Table 3). While certificates lower than associate degrees are an important entry point into healthcare, associate-level degrees and above generally result in higher-paying jobs. According to Utah Department of Workforce Services, two of the three fastest growing occupations are affiliated with healthcare and computer software, which typically require a bachelor's degree. ${ }^{24}$ Statewide, there was an $8 \%$ increase in the percent of associate degrees in health professions that were earned by women (from $74 \%$ to $82 \%$ ), while the percentage of bachelor's degrees earned by women in this field remained fairly stable, around 79\%. Utah women also earn masters and doctoral degrees in these areas at higher rates than Utah men (see Appendix).

## Table 3: Utah Women with Agricultural Sciences and Health Professions Certificates and Degrees (USHE Colleges and Universities)

| Category | 2012 |  | 2017 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. | \% | No. | \% |
| Agricultural <br> Sciences | 81 | $44 \%$ | 94 | $47 \%$ |
| Health <br> Professions | 3,471 | $73 \%$ | 3,670 | $76 \%$ |

Source: IPEDS Completions Survey Data for Certificates and Degrees Awarded in STEM and Health Related Areas of Study, Utah Colleges and Universities. ${ }^{25}$

A national 2017 Georgetown study ${ }^{26}$ identified more collegelevel women involved in STEM majors than was previously thought. However, they also noticed women leaving the STEM pathway before completing a degree. The study emphasized that when female students received low grades in a male-dominated STEM field, the "triple-threat" (e.g., low grades, gender composition of a major, and gender stereotypes) compelled the women in their study to switch out of the discipline more quickly than their male peers. Data continue to demonstrate the misconception that female students often believe that men are "inherently a better fit" in STEM majors. Instead of recognizing that a STEM course might be challenging, low grades in difficult courses may contribute to the female misperception that they "don't fit." It is important to help young women overcome stereotype bias to succeed in STEM fields.

## Conclusion

This updated research and policy brief has focused on the status of STEM education and attainment for girls and women in Utah. For details on the status of women in the Utah workforce, see the 2016 Utah Women \& Leadership Project research snapshot titled "Utah Women and STEM." ${ }^{27}$ Of course, there is a critical link between women's STEM education and women being employed in STEM jobs.

In summary, educational assessment data for Utah students is varied. NAEP data indicate that fourth- and eighth-grade females improved their math and science scores since 2009 but still trail males in all categories. ACT scores for 2017 also show Utah women attaining lower scores than men in math and science. Both males and females in Utah earned similar scores on the ACT STEM assessment, but the scores are still below the required benchmark. While students showed improvement in all NAEP categories, ACT scores dropped during the same timeframe.
At the college and university levels, women continued to have high graduation rates in agricultural sciences and health professions. In addition, the number of female students completing degrees increased in every IPEDS-identified STEM category, which could be in part due to the increase in the total number of students attending Utah colleges and universities. As a percentage of the student body, female graduation rates showed slight improvements in the fields of biological/biomedical, computer/information sciences, and physical sciences. The largest jump was in engineering, from $12 \%$ to $17 \%$, although it remains one of the lowest categories for female participation. The share of degrees being awarded to women in engineering technologies, math/statistics, and science technicians decreased during this time.
Overall, the modern economy requires a diverse STEM workforce to support economic growth and to generate new ideas and support needed technical innovations. ${ }^{28}$ Therefore, the continued challenge in substantially moving the needle in these disciplines needs to be addressed more assertively, particularly in Utah. Often, women are not represented by large enough numbers to make an institutional difference in a male-dominated environment. This consistent fact, especially in technical industries, is a primary reason STEM-educated women are leaving these fields to work in other non-STEM industries. ${ }^{29}$ Researchers continue to find that throughout childhood, youth, and young adulthood, there is a persistent message and misconception that girls and women do not fit in the masculine STEM environment. ${ }^{30}$ Yet, the number of STEM-related jobs will continue to grow. A STEM degree provides women with a pathway to a career with high wages and secure employment. Overall, motivating more female students to seek degrees in the fields of computer science, mathematics, and engineering is critical.

Utah leaders have recognized the importance of this issue, and government, nonprofit, and industry leaders are working to address the gender gap in STEM. Multiple examples of
supportive interventions are found through the Utah STEM Action Center, Utah System of Higher Education, and the Utah Board of Education. ${ }^{31}$ The Utah Computer Science Grant Act (HB 227), passed in the 2019 legislative session, is intended to support teachers and school districts to integrate computer science education into the curriculum of each Utah elementary school. In addition, the Women Tech Council, guided by an all-female board of STEM professionals, continues to mentor the future female workforce and to provide networking opportunities. Through its diverse board, Silicon Slopes strives to

[^1]promote diversity within the Utah technical industries. These and other efforts to promote an inclusive culture in education and the workforce will continue to encourage more young girls and women to gain an interest, obtain an education, and move towards successful STEM careers. Yet, more needs to be done. Hopefully the data and insights shared in this brief will spark discussion and assist organizations and society at large in making the needed changes to help Utah increase gender diversity in STEM education and careers.

[^2]
## Copyright © 2019 Utah Women \& Leadership Project

## APPENDIX

## 2012 and 2017 Comparison Data for STEM Degree Award Levels at Utah State Higher Education (USHE) Institutions

Note: The following information was obtained from the Integrated Postsecondary Education Data System (IPEDS) DataCenter by Shannen Robson, Senior Research Analysis of Institutional Research \& Information at Utah Valley University.

Dixie State University
2012

|  | No. Men | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Men } \end{gathered}$ | No. Women | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Women } \end{gathered}$ | No. Men | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Men } \end{gathered}$ | No. Women | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Women } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 1 academic year award level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer and Information Sciences | 10 | 56\% | 8 | 44\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Health Professions | 121 | 32\% | 257 | 68\% | 76 | 29\% | 186 | 71\% |
| At least 1 but less than 2 years award |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer and Information Sciences | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 | 33\% | 2 | 67\% |
| Health Professions | 12 | 55\% | 10 | 45\% | 5 | 71\% | 2 | 29\% |
| Associate degree |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering | 2 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Health Professions | 47 | 32\% | 101 | 68\% | 31 | 24\% | 98 | 76\% |
| Bachelor's degree |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biological and Biomedical Sciences | 12 | 86\% | 2 | 14\% | 33 | 70\% | 14 | 30\% |
| Computer and Information Sciences | 24 | 67\% | 12 | 33\% | 32 | 74\% | 11 | 26\% |
| Health Professions | 4 | 7\% | 54 | 93\% | 19 | 15\% | 108 | 85\% |
| Mathematics and Statistics | 3 | 60\% | 2 | 40\% | 3 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Physical Sciences | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Total | 235 | 35\% | 446 | 65\% | 203 | 33\% | 421 | 67\% |

Salt Lake Community College

|  | No. <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Men } \end{gathered}$ | No. Women | \% <br> Women | No. <br> Men | $\stackrel{\%}{\text { Men }}$ | No. Women | \% <br> Women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 1 academic year award level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer and Information Sciences | 21 | 84\% | 4 | 16\% | 541 | 88\% | 72 | 12\% |
| Engineering Tech and Related Fields | -- | -- | -- | -- | 12 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Health Professions | 39 | 17\% | 190 | 83\% | 18 | 19\% | 76 | 81\% |
| At least 1 but less than 2 years award |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer and Information Sciences | 13 | 81\% | 3 | 19\% | 2 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Engineering Tech \& Related Fields | 12 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 15 | 88\% | 2 | 12\% |
| Health Professions | 15 | 13\% | 98 | 87\% | 8 | 14\% | 51 | 86\% |
| Science Technologies/Technicians | -- | -- | -- | -- | 16 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Associate degree |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biological and Biomedical Sciences | 9 | 60\% | 6 | 40\% | 10 | 53\% | 9 | 47\% |
| Computer and Information Sciences | 42 | 84\% | 8 | 16\% | 116 | 87\% | 18 | 13\% |
| Engineering | 42 | 98\% | 1 | 2\% | 48 | 89\% | 6 | 11\% |
| Engineering Tech and Related Fields | 76 | 86\% | 12 | 14\% | 37 | 86\% | 6 | 14\% |
| Health Professions | 70 | 18\% | 321 | 82\% | 73 | 19\% | 308 | 81\% |
| Mathematics and Statistics | 5 | 71\% | 2 | 29\% | 10 | 67\% | 5 | 33\% |
| Physical Sciences | 10 | 63\% | 6 | 38\% | 16 | 55\% | 13 | 45\% |
| Science Technologies/Technicians | 13 | 65\% | 7 | 35\% | 14 | 70\% | 6 | 30\% |
| Total | 367 | 36\% | 658 | 64\% | 936 | 62\% | 572 | 38\% |


|  | No. Men | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Men } \end{gathered}$ | No. Women | Women | No. Men | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Men } \end{gathered}$ | No. Women | Women |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 1 academic year award level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health Professions | 37 | 17\% | 185 | 83\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| At least 1 but less than 2 years award |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer and Information Sciences | -- | -- | -- | -- | 8 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Engineering Tech and Related Fields | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Health Professions | 7 | 19\% | 29 | 81\% | 6 | 19\% | 25 | 81\% |
| Associate degree |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture and Agriculture Operations | 1 | 20\% | 4 | 80\% | 4 | 40\% | 6 | 60\% |
| Biological and Biomedical Sciences | 3 | 33\% | 6 | 67\% | 4 | 67\% | 2 | 33\% |
| Computer and Information Sciences | 12 | 92\% | 1 | 8\% | 16 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Engineering | 20 | 83\% | 4 | 17\% | 27 | 84\% | 5 | 16\% |
| Engineering Tech and Related Fields | 4 | 80\% | 1 | 20\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Health Professions | 30 | 29\% | 72 | 71\% | 13 | 18\% | 58 | 82\% |
| Mathematics and Statistics | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 100\% | 1 | 20\% | 4 | 80\% |
| Physical Sciences | 1 | 25\% | 3 | 75\% | 5 | 83\% | 1 | 17\% |
| Total | 115 | 27\% | 307 | 73\% | 85 | 46\% | 101 | 54\% |

## Southern Utah University

## 2012

## 2017

|  | No. <br> Men | $\%$ <br> Men | No. <br> Women | \% <br> Women | No. <br> Men | \% <br> Men | No. <br> Women |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Women |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

## University of Utah

|  | No. <br> Men | \% <br> Men | No. <br> Women | \% <br> Women | No. <br> Men | \% <br> Men | No. <br> Women | \% <br> Women |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Bachelor's degree |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biological and Biomedical Sciences | 101 | $58 \%$ | 74 | $42 \%$ | 102 | $54 \%$ | 87 | $46 \%$ |
| Computer and Information Sciences | 76 | $97 \%$ | 2 | $3 \%$ | 185 | $87 \%$ | 27 | $13 \%$ |
| Engineering | 342 | $90 \%$ | 38 | $10 \%$ | 417 | $80 \%$ | 103 | $20 \%$ |
| Health Professions | 94 | $25 \%$ | 281 | $75 \%$ | 135 | $31 \%$ | 306 | $69 \%$ |

Authors: Dr. Cheryl Hanewicz (Assistant Professor of Technology Management, Utah Valley University), and Dr. Susan Thackeray (Assistant Professor of Technology Management, Utah Valley University), and Dr. Susan R. Madsen (Orin R. Woodbury Professor of Leadership \& Ethics, Utah Valley University). For questions and information: uwlp@usu.edu or www.utwomen.org

| Mathematics and Statistics | 43 | $72 \%$ | 17 | $28 \%$ | 56 | $64 \%$ | 31 | $36 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Physical Sciences | 78 | $63 \%$ | 46 | $37 \%$ | 80 | $59 \%$ | 55 | $41 \%$ |
| Postbaccalaureate certificate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Engineering | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Health Professions | 1 | $17 \%$ | 5 | $83 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Master's degree |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biological and Biomedical Sciences | 9 | $56 \%$ | 7 | $44 \%$ | 11 | $48 \%$ | 12 | $52 \%$ |
| Computer and Information Sciences | 42 | $88 \%$ | 6 | $13 \%$ | 197 | $74 \%$ | 69 | $26 \%$ |
| Engineering | 140 | $86 \%$ | 22 | $14 \%$ | 160 | $75 \%$ | 52 | $25 \%$ |
| Health Professions | 87 | $38 \%$ | 139 | $62 \%$ | 94 | $33 \%$ | 192 | $67 \%$ |
| Mathematics and Statistics | 11 | $69 \%$ | 5 | $31 \%$ | 9 | $39 \%$ | 14 | $61 \%$ |
| Physical Sciences | 34 | $60 \%$ | 23 | $40 \%$ | 35 | $60 \%$ | 23 | $40 \%$ |
| Post-master's certificate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer and Information Sciences | -- | -- | -- | -- | 5 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Engineering | 1 | $50 \%$ | 1 | $50 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Engineering Tech and Related Fields | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2 | $50 \%$ | 2 | $50 \%$ |
| Health Professions | 5 | $26 \%$ | 14 | $74 \%$ | 9 | $38 \%$ | 15 | $63 \%$ |
| Doctoral degree |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biological/Biomedical Sci. Research/Scholarship | 24 | $55 \%$ | 20 | $45 \%$ | 31 | $69 \%$ | 14 | $31 \%$ |
| Computer \& Info Sciences Research/Scholarship | 14 | $93 \%$ | 1 | $7 \%$ | 16 | $80 \%$ | 4 | $20 \%$ |
| Engineering Research/Scholarship | 55 | $82 \%$ | 12 | $18 \%$ | 59 | $86 \%$ | 10 | $14 \%$ |
| Health Professions Research/Scholarship | 20 | $50 \%$ | 20 | $50 \%$ | 9 | $38 \%$ | 15 | $63 \%$ |
| Health Professions Professional Practice | 129 | $52 \%$ | 120 | $48 \%$ | 141 | $42 \%$ | 194 | $58 \%$ |
| Mathematics and Statistics Research/Scholarship | 6 | $60 \%$ | 4 | $40 \%$ | 15 | $88 \%$ | 2 | $12 \%$ |
| Physical Sciences Research/Scholarship | 29 | $74 \%$ | 10 | $26 \%$ | 30 | $81 \%$ | 7 | $19 \%$ |
|  | $\mathbf{6 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 6 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 9 9}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 2 3 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 \%}$ |  |

## Utah State University

2012

|  | No. Men | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Men } \end{gathered}$ | No. Women | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Women } \end{gathered}$ | No. <br> Men | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Men } \end{gathered}$ | No. Women | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { Women } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| At least 1 but less than 2 years award |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture and Operations | 8 | 50\% | 8 | 50\% | 2 | 20\% | 8 | 80\% |
| Computer and Information Sciences | -- | -- | -- | -- | 6 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Engineering Tech and Related Fields | 2 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 3 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Health Professions | 9 | 18\% | 42 | 82\% | 5 | 13\% | 34 | 87\% |
| Associate degree |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture and Operations | 13 | 65\% | 7 | 35\% | 9 | 41\% | 13 | 59\% |
| Computer and Information Sciences | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Engineering | 15 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Health Professions | 17 | 31\% | 37 | 69\% | 4 | 8\% | 46 | 92\% |
| Bachelor's degree |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture and Operations | 53 | 59\% | 37 | 41\% | 63 | 59\% | 44 | 41\% |
| Biological and Biomedical Sciences | 73 | 68\% | 34 | 32\% | 75 | 59\% | 53 | 41\% |
| Computer and Information Sciences | 72 | 95\% | 4 | 5\% | 127 | 90\% | 14 | 10\% |
| Engineering | 179 | 85\% | 31 | 15\% | 260 | 87\% | 40 | 13\% |
| Health Professions | 45 | 14\% | 273 | 86\% | 37 | 12\% | 277 | 88\% |
| Mathematics and Statistics | 11 | 61\% | 7 | 39\% | 26 | 68\% | 12 | 32\% |
| Physical Sciences | 23 | 82\% | 5 | 18\% | 26 | 67\% | 13 | 33\% |
| Postbaccalaureate certificate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health Professions | -- | -- | -- | -- | 5 | 12\% | 38 | 88\% |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Master's degree |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture and Operations | 9 | $43 \%$ | 12 | $57 \%$ | 6 | $46 \%$ | 7 | $54 \%$ |
| Biological and Biomedical Sciences | 10 | $50 \%$ | 10 | $50 \%$ | 14 | $70 \%$ | 6 | $30 \%$ |
| Computer and Information Sciences | 47 | $80 \%$ | 12 | $20 \%$ | 46 | $73 \%$ | 17 | $27 \%$ |
| Engineering | 89 | $88 \%$ | 12 | $12 \%$ | 87 | $92 \%$ | 8 | $8 \%$ |
| Health Professions | 20 | $30 \%$ | 46 | $70 \%$ | 10 | $11 \%$ | 85 | $89 \%$ |
| Mathematics and Statistics | 4 | $40 \%$ | 6 | $60 \%$ | 7 | $70 \%$ | 3 | $30 \%$ |
| Physical Sciences | 6 | $67 \%$ | 3 | $33 \%$ | 10 | $71 \%$ | 4 | $29 \%$ |
| Doctoral degree |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agriculture/Ops Research/Scholarship | 2 | $33 \%$ | 4 | $67 \%$ | 3 | $60 \%$ | 2 | $40 \%$ |
| Biological/Biomedical Sci. Research/Scholarship | 6 | $60 \%$ | 4 | $40 \%$ | 11 | $61 \%$ | 7 | $39 \%$ |
| Computer \& Info Sciences Research/Scholarship | 3 | $75 \%$ | 1 | $25 \%$ | 3 | $75 \%$ | 1 | $25 \%$ |
| Engineering Research/Scholarship | 14 | $82 \%$ | 3 | $18 \%$ | 15 | $83 \%$ | 3 | $17 \%$ |
| Health Professions Professional Practice | 3 | $60 \%$ | 2 | $40 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 2 | $100 \%$ |
| Mathematics and Statistics Research/Scholarship | 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 3 | $60 \%$ | 2 | $40 \%$ |
| Physical Sciences Research/Scholarship | 1 | $25 \%$ | 3 | $75 \%$ | 4 | $57 \%$ | 3 | $43 \%$ |
|  | $\mathbf{7 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{8 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{5 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 \%}$ |


| Utah Valley University | 2012 |  |  |  | 2017 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. Men | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Men } \end{gathered}$ | No. Women | \% <br> Women | No. Men | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { Men } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { No. } \\ & \text { Women } \end{aligned}$ | \% <br> Women |
| Less than 1 academic year award level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer and Information Sciences | -- | -- | -- | -- | 40 | 93\% | 3 | 7\% |
| Engineering Tech and Related Fields | -- | -- | -- | -- | 9 | 90\% | 1 | 10\% |
| Health Professions | -- | -- | -- | -- | 2 | 50\% | 2 | 50\% |
| At least 1 but less than 2 years award |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer and Information Sciences | 1 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 30 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Engineering Tech and Related Fields | -- | -- | -- | -- | 0 | 0\% | 1 | 100\% |
| Health Professions | 20 | 91\% | 2 | 9\% | 6 | 75\% | 2 | 25\% |
| Associate degree |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biological and Biomedical Sciences | 4 | 67\% | 2 | 33\% | 5 | 42\% | 7 | 58\% |
| Computer and Information Sciences | 19 | 90\% | 2 | 10\% | 78 | 91\% | 8 | 9\% |
| Engineering | 21 | 91\% | 2 | 9\% | 25 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Engineering Tech and Related Fields | 56 | 95\% | 3 | 5\% | 38 | 90\% | 4 | 10\% |
| Health Professions | 24 | 20\% | 98 | 80\% | 20 | 22\% | 71 | 78\% |
| Mathematics and Statistics | 5 | 50\% | 5 | 50\% | 5 | 63\% | 3 | 38\% |
| Bachelor's degree |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Biological and Biomedical Sciences | 56 | 68\% | 26 | 32\% | 80 | 74\% | 28 | 26\% |
| Computer and Information Sciences | 114 | 85\% | 20 | 15\% | 185 | 87\% | 28 | 13\% |
| Engineering | 8 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% | 29 | 91\% | 3 | 9\% |
| Engineering Tech and Related Fields | -- | -- | -- | -- | 47 | 92\% | 4 | 8\% |
| Health Professions | 34 | 20\% | 136 | 80\% | 38 | 19\% | 160 | 81\% |
| Mathematics and Statistics | 7 | 70\% | 3 | 30\% | 10 | 77\% | 3 | 23\% |
| Physical Sciences | 22 | 85\% | 4 | 15\% | 23 | 79\% | 6 | 21\% |
| Postbaccalaureate certificate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Computer and Information Sciences | -- | -- | -- | -- | 4 | 80\% | 1 | 20\% |
| Master's degree |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Health Professions | 1 | 33\% | 2 | 67\% | 1 | 100\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Total | 392 | 56\% | 305 | 44\% | 675 | 67\% | 335 | 33\% |

Authors: Dr. Cheryl Hanewicz (Assistant Professor of Technology Management, Utah Valley University), and Dr. Susan Thackeray
(Assistant Professor of Technology Management, Utah Valley University), and Dr. Susan R. Madsen (Orin R. Woodbury Professor of Leadership \& Ethics, Utah Valley University). For questions and information: uwlp@usu.edu or www.utwomen.org

| Weber State University |
| :--- |
| \begin{tabular}{\|l|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|r|}
\hline
\end{tabular} |
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